LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF MONTEREY COUNTY

2014

Commissioners

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

P.O. Box 1369

132 W. Gabilan Street, Suite 102

Salinas, CA 93902

Salinas, CA 93901

Telephone (831) 754-5838

Fax (831) 754-5831

www.monterey.lafco.ca.gov

Chair

Louis R. Calcagno County Member

MEMORANDUM

Vice Chair

Steve Snodgrass

DATE:

April 10, 2014

Special District Member

TO:

Independent Special Districts in Monterey County

Fernando Armenta County Member, Alternate

FROM:

Kate McKenna, AICP, Executive Officer Kate

Sherwood Darington Public Member

SUBJECT:

NOTICE OF ELECTION - LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula

Matt Gourley Public Member, Alternate

For Independent Special Districts in Monterey County

(Signed Ballots are Due May 30, 2014)

Joe Gunter Alternate, City Member

> Maria Orozco City Member

Warren E. Poitras Special District Member, Alternate

> Ralph Rubio City Member

Simón Salinas County Member

Graig R. Stephens Special District Member

Staff

Kate McKenna, AICP Executive Officer

132 W. Gabilan Street, #102 Salinas, CA 93901

> P. O. Box 1369 Salinas, CA 93902

Voice: 831-754-5838

Recently you received correspondence from Jack Franscioni, Chairman of the Board, Soledad Community Health Care District, proposing updates to the local LAFCO cost allocation formula for independent special districts. A copy of Mr. Franscioni's letter is enclosed for reference. Changes to the current formula may be approved by a majority vote of the independent special districts, representing a majority of the combined total population of independent special districts in Monterey County.

In response to the proposal for an updated formula, the LAFCO office is administering a mail-in election for independent special districts. A ballot measure is enclosed for action by each of your legislative bodies. The ballot must be received in the LAFCO office no later than May 30, 2014 at 5:00 p.m.

The proposed formula language has been reviewed for legal format by the LAFCO General Counsel, and for accounting format by the Monterey County Auditor-Controller's Office. The primary purpose of the proposal is to update the cost share formula to reflect the current (actual) cost allocation practices. A secondary purpose is to authorize the Soledad Community Health Care District, when it has an operational loss, to forego a contribution to LAFCO for the following year. If the ballot measure is approved, the updated formula will be implemented beginning with the cost apportionment process for LAFCO's Fiscal Year 2014-2015 budget.

Thank you for participating in this election. Please call me if you have questions about the process or ballot measure. I can be reached at (831)754-5838 or 682-0157.

Enclosures:

- 1 Ballot Measure and Proposed Formula
- 2 Correspondence from SCHCD to Independent Special Districts, March 28, 2014

Fax: 831-754-5831

www.monterey.lafco.ca.gov

ENCLOSURE 1

BALLOT MEASURE AND PROPOSED FORMULA

Enclosure 1: Ballot and Proposed Formula

LAFCO of Monterey County

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF MONTEREY COUNTY

OFFICIAL BALLOT OF THE INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICTS

Proposed Amendment to the LAFCO Cost Share Allocation Formula for Independent Special Districts

Voting Instructions and Deadline: This ballot requires action by your District's legislative body. Please complete and sign the ballot. The signed ballot must be received in the LAFCO Office by May 30, 2014 at 5:00 p.m. It may be returned by fax at (831)754-5831 or by email to mckennak@monterey.lafco.ca.gov or by regular mail to P.O. Box 1369, Salinas, CA 93902, or dropped off at 132 W. Gabilan Street, Suite 101, Salinas.

| | Cost Share Allocation Formula for apportioning the Districts' expenses of the Commission be amended as proposed in Exhibit |
|--|--|
| YES | |
| NO | |
| | |
| Authorized Distric | Signature: |
| Independent Speci | al District: |
| Authorized District Signature: Independent Special District: Date: | |

Enclosure 1 – Exhibit A Proposed Formula (2014)

LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula for Independent Special Districts in Monterey County Proposed

The independent special district (district) share of the Monterey County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) cost will be allocated according to the following provisions:

- 1. In accordance with the intent of AB2838, the costs will be allocated in proportion to each district's total revenues, as reported in the most recent edition of the "Special Districts Annual Report" published by the State Controller. The total revenues for each district will be determined annually as follows:
 - o For Non-Enterprise Activities, Total Revenues as reported in the table titled "General Purpose Transactions" less intergovernmental revenue (Table 10 in the fiscal year 2011-12 Report).
 - o For Enterprise Activities, Total Operating Revenue (Tables 2-8 for Various Districts in Fiscal Year 2011-12 Report).
 - o For Districts not included in the most recent State Controller's report, 90% of the total revenues as published in the most recent annual audited financial statements. The 90% amount is specified to provide an inflation adjustment due to late publishing schedule of State Controller's reports.
- 2. If the above specified allocation methodology results in an allocation of more than 25% of the total special district share of LAFCO costs to a single district then the district's allocation shall be reduced to 25% of the total. The 25% limit is established to avoid any single district bearing a disproportionate amount of the costs. In such case, the remaining portion of the total cost will be re-allocated to all other districts based on the methodology specified in item 1 above.
- 3. In order to account for Health Care District revenue being reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as Enterprise Activities, and the inability to back out intergovernmental revenue as allowed for Non-Enterprise Activities, Health Care Districts that do not receive the benefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in paragraph 2 shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost where Operating Income is reported as a Loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for the prior fiscal year.

ENCLOSURE 2

SOLEDAD COMMUNITY HEALTH CARE DISTRICT

CORRESPONDENCE



Enclosure 2: SCHCD Correspondence

612 Main Street, Soledad, CA 93960 (831) 678-2462 • Fax: (831) 678-1539

Jack Franscioni 612 Main Street Soledad, CA 93960 March 28, 2014

[Recipient Independent Special District Address]

Dear [Special District General Manager/Fire Chief]:

Last Spring, we experienced notice of a significant increase in our LAFCO fee allocation for Fiscal Year 2013-2014. This isolated increase was due to a change in the way the special district formula for Monterey County was interpreted for our Health Care District.

I asked our CFO, Mr. Larsen to review all the relevant information we could get on this topic. We searched exhaustively through all resources, and explored the interesting history, in helping us understand why this happened, and helping to charting a solution to correct the issue. We found inconsistencies in how the formula was applied over the last 12 years. Some of these issues were forced on the County by the changes in the way the State presents district information.

We looked at numerous issues related to all Districts, and our main goal was to update the formula to the current practice. The proposed formula will allow the County to update the revenue data each year rather than every five as is the informal practice (the original formula does not specify any schedule for revenue data updates). It will continue the current practice of excluding intergovernmental revenues from the cost allocation process (the original formula does not provide for this important exclusion). It will allow our Health Care District, when we have an operational loss, to forgo a contribution to LAFCO for the following year. This is fairly consistent with provisions in the State language for health care districts. (Please note: No change is proposed to the current policy allocation of 25 percent of the special districts' share of LAFCO costs to the Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System, irrespective of the revenues of the SVMHS.) A few other minor policy updates are proposed for the sake of consistency with current interpretations of the original formula. Taken together, the proposed policy updates will have minimal impact on all districts, and will help the formula to stand alone without needing to consult the state law for interpretation of our local formula. We have attached the original and proposed formulas, and comparison worksheets for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 to illustrate the impacts.

We have met with and discussed the topic with the County as well as LAFCO. LAFCO Counsel has reviewed the proposed policy language for legal format, and the County Auditor's office has reviewed it for accounting format. Hopefully with this solution in place, no one will need to look at this for another decade.

Enclosure 2: SCHCD Correspondence

I invite you to call me or Mr. Larsen with any questions you may have concerning this language. I can be reached at (831) 678-2758, Mr. Larsen at (801) 557-2090.

Sincerely,

Jack Franscioni

Chairman of the Board

Soledad Community Health Care District

Jack Franscioni

Enclosures: Proposed 2014 formula, Original formula, Fiscal Year 2013-2014 allocation sheet (actual), Fiscal Year 2013-2014 allocation sheet (based on the proposed formula – for comparison purposes only)

Enclosure 2 Proposed Formula (2014)

LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula for Independent Special Districts in Monterey County Proposed

The independent special district (district) share of the Monterey County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) cost will be allocated according to the following provisions:

- 1. In accordance with the intent of AB2838, the costs will be allocated in proportion to each district's total revenues, as reported in the most recent edition of the "Special Districts Annual Report" published by the State Controller. The total revenues for each district will be determined annually as follows:
 - o For Non-Enterprise Activities, Total Revenues as reported in the table titled "General Purpose Transactions" less intergovernmental revenue (Table 10 in the fiscal year 2011-12 Report).
 - o For Enterprise Activities, Total Operating Revenue (Tables 2-8 for Various Districts in Fiscal Year 2011-12 Report).
 - o For Districts not included in the most recent State Controller's report, 90% of the total revenues as published in the most recent annual audited financial statements. The 90% amount is specified to provide an inflation adjustment due to late publishing schedule of State Controller's reports.
- 2. If the above specified allocation methodology results in an allocation of more than 25% of the total special district share of LAFCO costs to a single district then the district's allocation shall be reduced to 25% of the total. The 25% limit is established to avoid any single district bearing a disproportionate amount of the costs. In such case, the remaining portion of the total cost will be re-allocated to all other districts based on the methodology specified in item 1 above.
- 3. In order to account for Health Care District revenue being reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as Enterprise Activities, and the inability to back out intergovernmental revenue as allowed for Non-Enterprise Activities, Health Care Districts that do not receive the benefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in paragraph 2 shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost where Operating Income is reported as a Loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for the prior fiscal year.

Enclosure 2: Proposed Formula with Track Changes

LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula for Independent Special Districts in Monterey County <u>Approved by Independent Special Districts on June 13, 2002</u>Proposed

The independent special district (district) share of the Monterey County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) cost will be allocated according to the following provisions:

- 1. In accordance with the intent of AB2838, the costs will be allocated in proportion to each district's total revenues, as reported in the most recent edition of the "Special Districts Annual Report" published by the State Controller. The total revenues for each district will be determined annually as follows:
 - o For Non-Enterprise aActivities, Total Revenues as reported in the table titled "General Purpose Transactions" less intergovernmental (revenue (Table 13-10 in the fiscal year 1997-982011-12 Report).
 - o For Enterprise Activities, the sum of a) Total Operating Revenue and, b: County allocation of Taxes as reported in the tables titled "operating Statement and Changes in Fixed Assets and Accumulated Depreciation" (Both Line items are in Tables 18-24-2-8 for Various Districts in Fiscal Year 1997-982011-12 Report).
 - o For Districts not included in the most recent State Controller's report, 90% of the total revenues as published in the most recent annual audited financial statements. The 90% amount is specified to provide an inflation adjustment due to late publishing schedule of State Controller's reports.
- 2. If the above specified allocation methodology results in an allocation of more than 25% of the total special district share of LAFCO costs to a single district then the district's allocation shall be reduced to 25% of the total. The 25% limit is established to avoid any single district bearing a disproportionate amount of the costs. In such case, the remaining portion of the total cost will be re-allocated to all other districts based on the methodology specified in item 1 above.
- 2-3. In order to account for Whereas Health Care District revenue beings reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as Enterprise Activities, and the inability to cannot back out intergovernmental revenue as allowed for Non-Enterprise Activities, and pursuant to the State regulations known as "Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg", Health Care Districts that do not receive the benefit of who have not taken the 25% limitation on contributions specified in paragraph 2 shall provision above will not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's the Commissions operational cost where Operating Income is reported as a Loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for the prior fiscal

Enclosure 2: Proposed Formula with Track Changes

year.net from operations is a negative number, until such time a positive net from operations is attained.

Enclosure 2: Original Formula (2002)

LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula for Independent Special Districts in Monterey County Approved by Independent Special Districts on June 13, 2002

The independent special district (district) share of the Monterey County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) cost will be allocated according to the following provisions:

- 1. In accordance with the intent of AB2838, the costs will be allocated in proportion to each district's total revenues, as reported in the most recent edition of the "Special Districts Annual Report" published by the State Controller. The total revenues for each district will be determined as follows:
 - o For Non-Enterprise activities, Total Revenues as reported in the table titled "General Purpose Transactions" (Table 13 in the fiscal year 1997-98 Report).
 - o For Enterprise Activities, the sum of a) Total Operating Revenue and, b: County allocation of Taxes as reported in the tables titled "operating Statement and Changes in Fixed Assets and Accumulated Depreciation" (Both Line items are in Tables 18-24 for Various Districts in Fiscal Year 1997-98 Report).
 - o For Districts no included in the most recent State Controller's report, 90% of the total revenues as published in the most recent annual audited financial statements. The 90% amount is specified to provide an inflation adjustment due to late publishing schedule of State Controller's reports.
- 2. If the above specified allocation methodology results in an allocation of more than 25% of the total special district share of LAFCO costs to a single district then the district's allocation shall be reduced to 25% of the total. The 25% limit is established to avoid any single district bearing a disproportionate amount of the costs. In such case, the remaining portion of the total cost will be re-allocated to all other districts based on the methodology specified in item 1 above.

Monterery County Independent Special Districts LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula: Proportionate Percentages for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 (Adopted Final Budget - May 20, 2013)

Enclosure 2: FY 2013-14 Allocation Worksheet (Actual)

Data Source: March 2013 State Controller's Special Districts Annual Report Using Fiscal Year 2010-11 Revenue. Note: Revenue data was updated for this spreadsheet and will be updated on an annual basis in future years. Total LAFCO Revenue Non-Enterprise Enterprise Percent Cost Percent Cost AIRPORTS Monterey Peninsula Airport District 5,251,536 \$0 \$7,143,780 4.72% \$11,803 \$11,803 4.72% CEMETERIES Castroville Cemetery 317 156,988 0.10% 259 259 0.10%Cholame Cemetery District 0 0.00% 0.00% Gonzales Cemetery District (33) 87.780 0.06% 145 145 0.06% Greenfield Cemetery District 207 125,676 0.08% 208 208 0.08% King City Cemetery District 707 217 688 0.14% 360 0.14% 360 San Ardo Cemetery District 80 14.020 0.01% 23 23 0.01% San Lucas Cemetery District 13,188 0.01% 22 22 0.01% Soledad Cemetery District 309 129,897 0.09% 215 215 0.09% COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICTS Castroville CSD 66,771 2,111,500 1.39% 3,489 3,489 1.39% Pajaro/Sunny Mesa CSD 208,061 148,045 0.10% 245 1,713,184 1.13% 2,831 3,075 1.23% Pebble Beach CSD 64,734 8,207,387 13,561 5.42% 4.226 187 2 79% 6.983 20,543 8.21% Santa Lucia CSD 2,755,482 1.82% 4,553 1,946,674 1.29% 3.216 7,769 3.11% Spreckels CSD 138 102,329 0.07% 169 0.07% 169 Ocean View Plaza CSD FIRE DISTRICTS Aromas Tri-County FPD 8,897 1,207,331 0.80% 1.995 0.80% 1.995 Cachagua FPD 55,435 28,068 0.02% 0.02% 46 Carmel Highlands FPD 12,610 2,225,318 1.47% 3,677 3,677 1.47% Carmel Valley FPD 747.588 3 788 769 2.50% 6,260 2.50% 6,260 Cypress FPD 23,202 4.065.305 2.68% 6,717 2.68% 6,71 Gonzales Rural FPD 31,720 128,055 0.08% 212 212 0.08% Greenfield FPD 94,662 500,999 0.33% 828 828 0.33% Mission-Soledad FPD 31,951 144,357 0.10% 239 239 0.10% North County FPD 212,436 5,302,987 5,762,045 3.50% 8,762 9,520 3.50% 3.81% 8.762 Monterey County Regional FPD 1,259,902 3.81% 9,520 South Monterey County FPD 1,684 672,851 0.44% 1,112 1,112 0.44% HARBOR DISTRICTS Moss Landing Harbor District 1.500 2,830,960 1.879 4,677 4,677 1.87% HEALTH CARE DISTRICTS Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System See end of worksheet for adjustment of disproportionate impact above 25% Soledad Community Health Care (See Footnote 1) 8,305,106 5.49% 13,722 5.49% MEMORIAL DISTRICTS Greenfield Memorial District 147,686 0.10% 244 244 0.10% Spreckels Memorial District 846 172,089 0.11% 284 284 0.11% MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICTS No. Salinas Valley Mosquito Abatemt. 5,976 1.438.556 0.95% 2,377 2,377 0.95% RECREATION & PARK DISTRICTS Carmel Valley Recreation & Park 63,355 0.04% 105 105 0.04% North County Park & Recreation District 932.962 460,567 0.30% 761 0.30% 761 Greenfield Public Recreation District 140,192 150,368 0.10% 248 248 0.10% Monterey Peninsula Regional Park 1,965,472 4.084.921 2.70% 6.749 6,749 2.70% Soledad-Mission Recreation District 1.315 363,489 0.24% 601 601 0.24% RESOURCE CONSERVATION 433,512 Resource Conservation Dist of Monterey Co 729 0.00% 0.00% SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL Monterey Regional Waste Mgt. District 0 19,956,171 32.972 13.18% 13.18% 32.972 WASTEWATER/SANITARY Carmel Area Wastewater District 39,057 6,858,921 4.53% 11,333 11,333 4.53% WATER DISTRICTS Marina Coast Water District (County WD) 10.27% n 10.27% 25,690 25,690 San Ardo Water District (Calif. WD) 0 98,272 0.06% 0.069 San Lucas County Water District 151,316 0.10% 250 0.10% 250 TOTALS: 11,594,486 \$70,890,486 \$42,666,327 28.18% \$70,495 46.82% \$117,128 \$187,623 75.00% Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$113,556,813 75.00% Footnote 1: The Commission authorized a one-time contribution of \$13,221 from the Contingency Reserve toward the total cost of Soledad Community Health Care District for FY 2013-14. This is intended to provide short-term relief from hardships of a sudden 200-fold cost increase as compared to previous years, and provides time for the District to pursue long-term solutions. DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT MITIGATION Salinas Valley Memorial Hospital - Allocation Based on Total Revenue 338,989,035 74.91% 187,391 187,391 74.91% Salinas Valley Memorial Hospital - Adjusted at a fixed percentage of total special districts LAFCO cost: 62,541 25.00% Total Cost \$250,164 100.00%

Monterery County Independent Special Districts LAFCO Cost Allocation Formula: Proportionate Percentages for FY 2013-2014 (For Comparison Purposes OnlyHypothetical Allocation based on the Proposed Formula)

Enclosure 2: FY 2013-14 Adjusted Allocation W orksheet with Proposed Formula (For Comparison Purposes Only)

Data Source: March 2013 State Controller's Special Districts Annual Report Using Fiscal Year 2010-11 Revenue.

| Receive | | ata was updated for this spr | Excluded | | | 1 | / | T T | | Total LAFCO | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| APPICORTS Strict | | | | | Percent | | | | Cost | | Percent |
| Seminary Permission Airport Desired \$.291,536 \$90 | | | | (Nev. Id Gelma Halsacous) | | Орка | ang and real Operating No | value) | | | |
| SMITTERIES | | In Almond District | 5.054.500 | ** | | | 67.440.700 | 5 000/ | 640 705 | £40 70F | F 00 |
| astrovalle Cemelery 377 | eninsu | ula Airport District | 5,251,536 | \$0 | | | \$7,143,780 | 5.09% | \$12,735 | \$12,735 | 5.09 |
| Treatment Cemelary District O C D. 00% O | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Secretary District | | | | 156,988 | | | | | | 280 | 0.11 |
| recenfield Cemetery District | | | | 97.790 | | | | | | 0 156 | 0.00 |
| an Custo Cemelery District | | | | | | | | | | 224 | 0.00 |
| an Lucas Comeletry District | mete | ery District | | | | | | | | 388 | 0.16 |
| Decided Cemelery District 309 129,897 0.09% 232 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | | | | | | | | 25 | 0.01 |
| OMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICTS 65,777 | | | | | | | | | | 24 232 | 0.01 0.09 |
| astrovalle CSD | meter | District | 309 | 129,097 | 0.0976 | 232 | | | | 232 | 0.09 |
| See Page P | | RVICES DISTRICTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Beble Beach CSD | | an CCD | | | 0.4407 | | | | | 3,764 | 1.50 1.33 |
| anifal Lucia CSD 0 2,736,462 1,99% 4,912 1,946,674 1,39% 3,470 8, 2 cean View Plaza CSD 138 102,229 0,07% 182 | | | | | | | | | | 3,318 22,164 | 8.86 |
| RE DISTRICTS | | | | | | | | | | 8,382 | 3.35 |
| REDISTRICTS Report Repor | | | 138 | 102,329 | 0.07% | 182 | | | | 182 | 0.07 |
| romes Tri-County FPD | Plaza | a CSD | | | | | | | | | |
| Comes Tir-County FPD | RICTS | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| achagua FPD | Count | | | 1,207,331 | 0.86% | 2,152 | | | | 2,152 | 0.86 |
| Same Valley PFD | | | | | | | | | | 50 | 0.02 |
| Spreas FPD 23,202 4,065,305 2,90% 7,247 7,200 7, | | | | | | | | | | 3,967 6,754 | 1.59 2.70 |
| Second Property 31,720 128,055 0.09% 228 | | 1 | | | | | | | | 7,247 | 2.70 |
| Precented PD 94,662 500,999 0.36% 893 | | PD | | | | | | | | 228 | 0.09 |
| Conting Conting Page Conting Page Conting Page Pa | | | | 500,999 | 0.36% | | | | | 893 | 0.36 |
| Interior County Regional PPD | | | | | | | | | | 257 | 0.10 |
| 1,994 1,199 1,199 1,199 1,199 1,199 1,198 1,199 1,198 1,199 1,198 1,198 1,198 1,199 1,198 1,19 | | | | | | | - | | | 9,453 10,271 | 3.78 4.11 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1,199 | 0.48 |
| | | l are | | | | | | | | | |
| EALTH CARE DISTRICTS | | | 1 500 | 0 | | | 2 830 960 | 2 02% | 5 047 | 5,047 | 2.02 |
| See end of worksheet for adjustment of disproportionate impact above 25% to ideads Community Health Care (See Footnote 1) \$8,305,106 0.00% 0 | , g 11.u | I | 1,000 | | | | 2,000,000 | 2.0270 | 0,011 | 0,041 | |
| S8,305,106 0.00% 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EMORIAL DISTRICTS | | | oto 1) | | See end o | f worksheet for ad | | | | 0 | 0.00 |
| Interested Memorial District 570 | mmu | This reality care (See Footis | ole 1) | | | | \$6,505,106 | 0.00% | U | v | 0.00 |
| DISQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICTS O. Salinas Valley Mosquito Abatemt. 5,976 | | | | | | | | | | 263 | 0.11 |
| C. Salinas Valley Mosquito Abatemt. 5,976 1,438,556 1.03% 2,564 2, | remor | nai District | 846 | 172,089 | 0.12% | 307 | | | | 307 | 0.12 |
| ### ECREATION & PARK DISTRICTS armel Valley Recreation & Park | ABA | ATEMENT DISTRICTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Carmel Valley Recreation & Park 0 63,355 0.05% 113 115 | Valle | y Mosquito Abatemt. | 5,976 | 1,438,556 | 1.03% | 2,564 | | | | 2,564 | 1.03 |
| Corth County Park & Recreation District 932,962 460,567 0.33% 821 | ON & | PARK DISTRICTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Size enfield Public Recreation District | | | | | | | | | | 113 | 0.05 |
| Monterey Peninsula Regional Park 1,965,472 4,084,921 2,91% 7,282 7,101 2,010 2 | | | | | | | | | | 821 | 0.33 |
| Coledad-Mission Recreation District | | | | | | | | | | 268 7,282 | 0.11 2.91 |
| ESOURCE CONSERVATION desource Conservation Dist of Monterey Co. 433,512 729 0.00% 1 OLID WASTE DISPOSAL Identify Regional Waste Mgt. District 0 19,956,171 14.22% 35,574 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,574 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22% 35,100 14.22 | | | | | | | | | | 648 | 0.26 |
| Resource Conservation Dist of Monterey Co. 433,512 729 0.00% 1 OLID WASTE DISPOSAL Interest Regional Waste Mgt. District 0 19,956,171 14.22% 35,574 35,174 ASTEWATER/SANITARY Farmel Area Wastewater District 39,057 6,858,921 4.89% 12,227 12,174 Farmel Area Wastewater District (County WD) 0 15,548,415 11.08% 27,717 27,174 17,17 | | | , | | | | | | | | |
| OLID WASTE DISPOSAL 19,956,171 14.22% 35,574 35, | | | 422 512 | 720 | 0.000/ | | | | | | 0.00 |
| Industrial Ind | Ulisei | Tvalion bist of Monterey Co. | 433,312 | 729 | 0.00% | <u> </u> | | | | | 0.00 |
| VASTEWATER/SANITARY Parmel Area Wastewater District 39,057 6,858,921 4.89% 12,227 12, VATER DISTRICTS VAITER DISTRICTS Varian Acrdo Water District (County WD) 0 15,548,415 11.08% 27,717 27, Van Acrdo Water District (Calif, WD) 0 98,272 0.07% 175 Van Lucas County Water District 0 151,316 0.11% 270 OTALS: 11,594,486 \$42,666,327 30.40% \$76,058 \$62,585,380 44.60% \$111,565 \$187, Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75.00% Control 1: For Soledad Community Health Care District, all revenue is reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as "Enterprise Activities" with the inability to back out intergovernmental varieue as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the enefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because perating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | | | | | | | | | " | | |
| armel Area Wastewater District 39,057 6,858,921 4.89% 12,227 12; ATER DISTRICTS | egion | al Waste Mgt. District | 0 | | | | 19,956,171 | 14.22% | 35,574 | 35,574 | 14.22 |
| ATER DISTRICTS Idrina Coast Water District (County WD) 0 15,548,415 11.08% 27,717 27, an Ardo Water District (Callif, WD) 0 98,272 0.07% 175 an Lucas County Water District 0 151,316 0.11% 270 OTALS: 11,594,486 \$42,666,327 30,40% \$76,058 \$62,585,390 44.60% \$111,565 \$187. Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75,00% \$105,251,707 7 | TER/ | SANITARY | | | | † | | | | | |
| Marina Coast Water District (County WD) 0 15,548,415 11.08% 27,717 27, Ian Ardo Water District (Calif WD) 0 98,272 0.07% 175 Ian Lucas County Water District 0 151,316 0.11% 270 OTALS: 11,594,486 \$42,666,327 30,40% \$76,058 \$62,585,390 44.60% \$111,565 \$187. Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75.00% \$111,565 \$187. controle 1: For Soledad Community Health Care District, all revenue is reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as "Enterprise Activities" with the inability to back out intergovernmental evenue as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the enefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because operating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | | | 39,057 | | | | 6,858,921 | 4.89% | 12,227 | 12,227 | 4.89 |
| larina Coast Water District (County WD) 0 15,548,415 11.08% 27,717 27, an Ardo Water District (Calif. WD) 0 98,272 0.07% 175 an Lucas County Water District 0 151,316 0.111% 270 OTALS: 11,594,486 \$42,666,327 30.40% \$76,059 \$62,595,390 44.60% \$111,565 \$187. Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75.00% \$111,565 \$187. Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75.00% \$111,565 \$187. Doinote 1: For Soledad Community Health Care District, all revenue is reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as "Enterprise Activities" with the inability to back out intergovernmental venue as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the anefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because perating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | STOLO | 0.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| an Ardo Water District (Calif. WD) 0 98,272 0.07% 175 an Lucas County Water District 0 151,316 0.11% 270 OTALS: 11,594,486 \$42,666,327 30.40% \$76,058 \$62,585,380 44.60% \$111,565 \$187,170 Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75.00% controle 1: For Soledad Community Health Care District, all revenue is reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as "Enterprise Activities" with the inability to back out intergovernmental exercise as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the enefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because perating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | | | n | | | 1 | 15 548 415 | 11 08% | 97 717 | 27,717 | 11.08 |
| an Lucas County Water District O 151,316 0.11% 270 OTALS: 11,594,486 \$42,666,327 30.40% \$76,058 \$62,585,380 44.60% \$111,565 \$187,100 Subtotal Operating Revenue: \$105,251,707 75.00% | | | | | | | | | | 175 | 0.07 |
| Subtotal Operating Revenue: Subtotal Operati | | | | | | | 151,316 | 0.11% | 270 | 270 | 0.11 |
| control 1: For Soledad Community Health Care District, all revenue is reported in the Special Districts Annual Report as "Enterprise Activities" with the inability to back out intergovernmental venue as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the unefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because poerating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | | | 11,594,486 | \$42,666,327 | 30.40% | \$76,058 | \$62,585,380 | <u>44.60%</u> | <u>\$111,565</u> | \$187,623 | 75.00 |
| venue as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the another than the school of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because perating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | | Subtotal Operating Reven | ue: | | | | \$105,251,707 | 75.00% | | | |
| venue as allowed for Non-Enterprise activities. SCHCD regularly has 60-70% of intergovernmental transfer revenue that cannot be reported to the State. As the SCHCD does not receive the unefit of the 25% limitation on contributions specified in Paragraph 2 of the Proposed Formula, the SCHCD shall not be apportioned any share of LAFCO's cost for FY 2013-2014 because perating income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | or Sol | _⊥ ledad Community Health Care D | i istrict, all revenue | is reported in the Special D | istricts Annual R | eport as "Enteroris | se Activities" with | the inability to b | ack out interes | vernmental | 1 |
| perating Income is reported as a loss in the Special Districts Annual Report for FY 2010-11. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | he SCHCD shall | not be apportione | d any share of LA | FCO's cost for F | Y 2013-2014 I | oecause | |
| | ome is | s reported as a loss in the Speci- | al Districts Annual | Report for FY 2010-11. | | | | | | 1 | l |
| DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT MITIGATION | RTIO | NATE IMPACT MITIGATIO | ON | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | l Revenue | | | 338,989,035 | 76.31% | 190,894 | 190,894 | 76.31 |
| alinas Valley Memorial Hospital - Adjusted at a fixed percentage of total special districts LAFCO cost: 62,5 | ev Ma | emorial Hospital - Adjusted | at a fixed percor | tage of total enecial dis | tricts I AECO | Cost. | | | | 62,541 | 25.00 |
| | -, ivit | | a. a iinea percer | mage or rotal special UIS | MINUS LAFOU | J | | | | \$250,164 | 100.00 |